UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

v.

Julio Ramirez			Case Number: 17-01389MJ	
		e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § t the following facts are established:(Che	_	submitted to the Court. I
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.			
\boxtimes		preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the dant pending trial in this case.		
		PART I I	FINDINGS OF FACT	
	(1)	an offense for which a maximum in a felony that was committed after offenses described in 18 U.S.C. any felony that involves a min	offense if a circumstance giving rise in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). The sum sentence is life imprisonment or community that the defendant had been convicted of § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sor victim or that involves the possessing are defined in section 921), or any comparable in section 921, or any compar	death. or more is prescribed of two or more prior federal state or local offenses. Sion or use of a firearm or
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.		
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.		•
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establis conditions will reasonably assure the sthat the defendant has not rebutted this	afety of (an) other person(s) and the	
		Alter	native Findings	
	(1)	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a	mprisonment of ten years or more is pr	rescribed in ¹

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

		\square an offense involving a minor victim under section		
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.		
		Alternative Findings		
X	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.		
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and t community.		
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injuring the contract of		
	(4)	intimidate a prospective witness or juror).		
	(1)	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.) I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:		
X	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:		
	(-)	☐ The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.		
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
		☐ The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
		☐ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
		 □ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. □ The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of 		
×	The c	lefendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:		

²Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. §§1201, 1591,2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

³The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

XIn addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention. The defendant lives in Mexico and has significant family ties there. He does not have any financial ties to the United States. He also reports serious substance abuse issues.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 19th day of May, 2017.

Bridget S. Bade United States Magistrate Judge